CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

ORDER NO. R5-2007-0163

REQUIRING CITY OF GRASS VALLEY WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT NEVADA COUNTY

TO CEASE AND DESIST FROM DISCHARGING CONTRARY TO REQUIREMENTS

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region (hereafter Regional Water Board), finds:

- 1. On 6 June 2003, the Regional Water Board adopted Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) Order No. R5-2003-0089, and Cease and Desist Order (CDO) No. R5-2003-0090 prescribing waste discharge requirements and compliance time schedules for the City of Grass Valley (hereafter Discharger) Wastewater Treatment Plant. The Discharger discharges approximately 2.1 million gallons per day (mgd) of treated domestic and industrial wastewater to Wolf Creek, which is tributary to the Bear River. The design flow is 2.78 mgd.
- 2. WDRs Order No. R5-2003-0089 includes limits for aluminum, chloroform, cyanide, iron, copper, dibromochloromethane, dichlorobromomethane, manganese, methyl tert butyl ether (MTBE), methylene blue active substances (MBAS), nitrite, nitrate plus nitrite, and zinc as contained in Effluent Limitations section B.4, which states in part:

		<u>Average</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Average</u>
<u>Constituents</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Monthly</u>	<u>4-Day</u>	<u>Daily</u>	<u>1-Hour</u>
A4	ug/L		87		750
Aluminum ¹	lbs/day ²		2.0		17.4
Chloroform	ug/L	1.1			
Chiorolomi	lbs/day ²	0.026			
Copper	ug/L	Attachment E 5		Attachment E ⁵	
(Total Recoverable)	lbs/day ²	6		6	
Cyanide	ug/L	3.6 ⁵		9.6 ⁵	
(Total Recoverable)	lbs/day ²	0.085		0.22	
Dibromochloromethane	ug/L	0.41		1.0	
	lbs/day ²	0.0095		0.024	
Dichlorobromomethane	ug/L	0.56		1.1	
	lbs/day ²	0.013		0.026	
Iron	ug/L	300 ⁵			
(Total Recoverable)	lbs/day ⁷	20 ⁷			
Manganese	ug/l	50 ⁵			
(Total Recoverable)	lbs/day ⁷	3			

		<u>Average</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Average</u>
<u>Constituents</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Monthly</u>	<u>4-Day</u>	<u>Daily</u>	<u>1-Hour</u>
Methyl tert butyl ether	ug/L	5			
(MTBE)	lbs/day ²	0.1			
Methylene blue active substances (MBAS)	ug/L	500 ⁵			
	lbs/day ²	10			
Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	1			
	lbs/day ²	20			
Nitrate and Nitrite (as N)	mg/l	10			
	lbs/day ³	200			
Zinc (Total Recoverable)	ug/L	Attachment G 5		Attachment G	
	lbs/day ²	6	6		

Acid-soluble or total

Based on design treatment capacity of 2.78 mgd, [(x ug/l)(8.345)(2.78 mgd) = y lbs/day]

To be ascertained by a 24-hour composite

Based on design equalized peak flow treatment capacity of 7 mgd, [(x ug/l)(1 mg/1000 ug)(8.345)(7 mgd) = y lbs/day]

- 3. WDRs Order No. R5-2003-0089 included a schedule for achieving compliance with the Effluent Limitations for copper, cyanide, dibromochloromethane, dichlorobromomethane, and zinc by 1 March 2008. The WDRs expire on 1 June 2008, however the Discharger submitted a complete Report of Waste Discharge by 3 December 2007, and therefore the permit is administratively extended.
- 4. CDO No. R5-2003-0090 included a schedule for achieving compliance with the Effluent Limitations for aluminum, chloroform, iron, manganese, MTBE, MBAS, nitrite, and nitrate-plus-nitrite by 1 March 2008.
- 5. The Discharger has completed several efforts to attain compliance, including a pretreatment program and implementation of a pollution prevention program, as attempts to reduce overall inflow of contaminants into the treatment plant. The Discharger has also studied the effects of effluent hardness on aquatic life beneficial uses, and is in the process of completing Water Effects Ratio (WER) and translator studies for copper and zinc. The Discharger is planning to construct major plant improvements to add ultraviolet light (UV) disinfection and to upgrade the biological nitrogen removal process.

The Discharger is also involved in litigation with Newmont USA Limited regarding abandoned mine drainage from the Drew Tunnel, which is a source of aluminum and manganese entering the treatment plant. The Discharger's ability to comply with aluminum and manganese effluent limitations by the 1 March 2010 compliance date in this Order for aluminum and manganese depends on timely action by Newmont to participate in the resolution of the mine discharge. Ongoing litigation may delay the Discharger's compliance with aluminum and manganese final limitations and modification to the March

Based on design treatment capacity of 2.78 mgd, [(x ug/l)(1 mg/1000 ug)(8.345)(2.78 mgd) = y lbs/day]

The mass limit (lbs/day) shall be equal to the concentration limit (from corresponding Attachment, for corresponding period) multiplied by the design flow of 2.78 mgd and the unit conversion factor 8.345 and divided by 1000 ug/mg (see footnote 2 for equation)

2010 compliance date may need to be considered in the future as more information becomes available.

- 6. The Discharger has assessed the current status of improvements at the treatment plant and has reported that:
 - The existing facilities are capable of achieving compliance with final effluent limitations for iron, MTBE, MBAS, and nitrites.
 - The hardness, WER, and translator studies will be completed in March 2008. Upon Regional Water Board approval, information from these studies will be used for the development of copper and zinc effluent limitations in the subsequent WDR renewal. WDR Order No. R5-2003-0089 expires in June 2008.
 - Construction of plant improvements, including ultraviolet disinfection and biological
 nitrogen removal process, is proposed to be completed in December 2009. The new
 facilities are proposed to reduce effluent concentrations of chloroform, cyanide,
 dibromochloromethane, dichlorobromomethane, and nitrates-plus-nitrites in order for
 the Discharger to comply with effluent limitations.
 - The litigation with Newmont seeking removal of the Drew Tunnel discharge from the treatment plant is ongoing. Pending the outcome of the litigation, the constructed solution of the Drew Tunnel discharge will require approximately two years, placing the completion date in March 2010 at the earliest. Compliance with the aluminum and manganese effluent limitations is currently dependent on the outcome of the litigation.
- 7. Section 13301 of the California Water Code (CWC) states in part, "When a regional board finds that a discharge of waste is taking place or threatening to take place in violation of requirements or discharge prohibitions prescribed by the regional board or the state board, the board may issue an order to cease and desist and direct that those persons not complying with the requirements or discharge prohibitions (a) comply forthwith, (b) comply in accordance with a time schedule set by the board, or (c) in the event of a threatened violation, take appropriate remedial or preventative action. In the event of an existing or threatened violation of waste discharge requirements in the operation of a community sewer system, cease and desist orders may restrict or prohibit the volume, type, or concentration of waste that might be added to such system by dischargers who did not discharge into the system prior to the issuance of the cease and desist order. Cease and desist orders may be issued directly by a board, after notice and hearing, or in accordance with the procedure set forth in Section 13302."
- 8. In accordance with California Water Code (CWC) Section 13385(j)(3), the Regional Water Board finds that the Discharger is not able to consistently comply with the effluent limitations for aluminum, chloroform, copper, cyanide, dibromochloromethane, dichlorobromomethane, manganese, nitrate-plus-nitrite, and zinc. The schedules for completing the actions necessary to achieve full compliance exceed the expiration date of the WDR (1 June 2008) and exceed the 1 March 2008 compliance dates in the WDR and

- CDO. Additional time is necessary to complete site-specific studies, plant improvements, and litigation that will improve the quality and consistency of the effluent and improve compliance with effluent limitations. New time schedules are necessary in a CDO for all the constituents listed above. These limitations were new requirements that became applicable to the Order after the effective date of adoption of the waste discharge requirements, and after 1 July 2000, for which new or modified control measures are necessary in order to comply with the limitation, and the new or modified control measures cannot be designed, installed, and put into operation within 30 calendar days.
- 9. CWC section 13385(h) and (i) require the Regional Water Board to impose mandatory minimum penalties upon dischargers that violate certain effluent limitations. CWC section 13385(j) exempts certain violations from the mandatory minimum penalties. CWC section 13385(j)(3) exempts the discharge from mandatory minimum penalties "where the waste discharge is in compliance with either a cease and desist order issued pursuant to Section 13301 or a time schedule order issued pursuant to Section 13300, if all the [specified] requirements are met."
- 10. Compliance with this Order exempts the Discharger from mandatory penalties for violations of effluent limitations for copper, cyanide, dibromochloromethane, dichlorobromomethane, and zinc, in accordance with CWC section 13385(j)(3). CWC section 13385(j)(3) requires the Discharger to prepare and implement a pollution prevention plan pursuant to section 13263.3 of the California Water Code. Therefore, a pollution prevention plan will be necessary for copper, cyanide, dibromochloromethane, dichlorobromomethane, and zinc, in order to effectively reduce the effluent concentrations by source control measures. The pollution prevention plan must address that for dibromochloromethane and dichlorobromomethane (chlorine byproducts) produced during the wastewater treatment process, source control/pollution prevention measures may not be applicable.
- 11. Because CDO No. R5-2003-0090 provided the Discharger with almost 5 years to comply with effluent limitations for aluminum, chloroform, manganese, and nitrate-plus-nitrite, the exception from mandatory minimum penalties pursuant to CWC section 13385(j)(3) does not apply for these constituents after 5 June 2008. Pursuant to CWC section 13263.3(d)(1)(D), a pollution prevention plan will be necessary for aluminum, chloroform, manganese, and nitrate-plus-nitrite in order to effectively reduce the effluent concentrations by source control measures. The pollution prevention plan must address that for chloroform (chlorine byproducts) and nitrate-plus-nitrite (adherent component of municipal wastewater), source control/pollution prevention measures may not be applicable.
- 12. Since the time schedules for completion of actions necessary to bring the waste discharge into compliance exceeds 1 year, this Order includes interim requirements and dates for their achievement. The time schedules do not exceed 5 years.
- 13. The compliance time schedule in this Order includes interim effluent limitations for aluminum, chloroform, copper, cyanide, dibromochloromethane, dichlorobromomethane, manganese, nitrate-plus-nitrite, and zinc. Interim effluent limitations typically consist of a daily effluent concentration derived using sample data provided by the Discharger. Existing interim average daily limitations for cyanide, dibromochloromethane,

dichlorobromomethane, and zinc, as established in WDR Order No. R5-2003-0089, are included in this Order. New interim average daily limitations for aluminum, chloroform, copper, manganese, and nitrate-plus-nitrite, based on effluent monitoring data demonstrating actual treatment plant performance from February 2004 to March 2007, are also included in this Order. To maintain consistency with interim limitations established in existing WDR Order No. R5-2003-0089, interim limitations for all constituents described above are established as average daily effluent limitations. In developing the interim limitations, when there are ten sampling data points or more, sampling and laboratory variability is accounted for by establishing interim limits that are based on normally distributed data where 99.9% of the data points will lie within 3.3 standard deviations of the mean (Basic Statistical Methods for Engineers and Scientists, Kennedy and Neville, Harper and Row). When there are less than ten sampling data points available, the Technical Support Document for Water Quality- Based Toxics Control ((EPA/505/2-90-001), TSD) recommends a coefficient of variation of 0.6 be utilized as representative of wastewater effluent sampling. The TSD recognizes that a minimum of ten data points is necessary to conduct a valid statistical analysis. The multipliers contained in Table 5-2 of the TSD are used to determine a daily limitation based on a long-term average objective. In this case, the long-term average objective is to maintain, at a minimum, the current plant performance level. Thus, when there are less than ten sampling points for a constituent, interim limitations are based on 3.11 times the maximum observed effluent concentration to obtain the daily interim limitation (TSD, Table 5-2). If the statistically-projected interim limitation is less than the maximum observed effluent concentration, the interim limitation is established as the maximum observed concentration.

- 14. The Regional Water Board finds that the Discharger can undertake source control and treatment plant measures to maintain compliance with the interim limitations included in this Order. Interim limitations are established when compliance with the final effluent limitations cannot be achieved by the existing discharge. Discharge of constituents in concentrations in excess of the final effluent limitations, but in compliance with the interim effluent limitations, can significantly degrade water quality and adversely affect the beneficial uses of the receiving stream on a long-term basis. The interim limitations, however, establish an enforceable ceiling concentration until compliance with the effluent limitation can be achieved.
- 15. This Order modifies CDO No. R5-2003-0090 in the following ways: it requires a pollution prevention plan (PPP); it establishes interim effluent limitations based on existing interim limitations or demonstrated plant performance; and it provides deadlines for the Discharger to cease and desist from violating an existing order. Issuance of this Order is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code, Section 21000, et seq.) ("CEQA") for the following reasons, each of which is an independent basis for exemption.
 - This Order does not have the potential to cause a significant impact on the environment (Title 14 CCR section 15061(b)(3)) and is not a "project" as defined by CEQA. This Order enforces preexisting requirements to improve the quality of ongoing discharges that are part of the CEQA "baseline"; and includes interim effluent limitations to ensure that discharges do not increase above the CEQA

baseline. This Order does not modify any compliance dates or other requirements of WDRs Order No. R5-2003-0089. Rather, this Order imposes requirements that will maintain the CEQA baseline while the Discharger attains compliance with the existing requirements. The PPP will identify source control measures in order to meet the preexisting effluent limitations. Any measures to meet effluent limitations are the result of WDRs Order No. R5-2003-0089 and not this Order. Since the compliance schedule is as short as possible and any actions to comply with the existing requirements are already required, this Order does not allow any environmental impacts to occur; those impacts would occur regardless of this Order.

- Which source control measures the Discharger will identify or select for implementation as a result of source control review in the PPP is indefinite and uncertain. In addition, the Discharger is required to study alternatives and potential adverse impacts in its PPP, under Water Code Section 13263.3(d)(2).
- Any modification of WDRs Order No. R5-2003-0089 would be exempt from CEQA under Water Code Section 13389. This Order is similarly exempt from CEQA under Water Code Section 13389, since the adoption or modification of a NPDES Permit for an existing source is exempt and this Order only serves to implement WDRs Order No. R5-2003-0089, which is such a NPDES permit.
- This Order is exempt pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15321. The discharges subject to this Order are not "hazardous materials." Even assuming for argument's sake that the facility discharges waste that could be considered "hazardous materials," it is questionable whether the Cortese List exception applies to enforcement orders intended to eliminate such discharges. Rather, the exception apparently was intended to apply only to permits for development projects located on a listed site. Also, the discharges occur offsite and do not occur at the site itself.
- The hardness, WER and translator studies described in Finding 6 of this Order may support site-specific objectives for copper and zinc in a future NPDES permit that are equivalent to the interim limits.
- 16. The Discharger has complied with the California Environmental Quality Act by preparing a mitigated negative declaration for the wastewater treatment plant improvement project, which was circulated through the State Clearinghouse and adopted by the City of Grass Valley City Council. The City Council approved the Project on August 28, 2007, and filed a Notice of Determination with the Governor's Office of Planning and Research and the Nevada County Clerk on August 29, 2007. The Regional Water Board has considered the mitigated negative declaration, which did not identify any environmental impacts to water quality except mitigated erosion potential during construction.
- 17. Any person adversely affected by this action of the Regional Water Board may petition the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) to review the action. The

petition must be received by the State Water Board Office of Chief Counsel, P.O. Box 100, Sacramento CA 95812-0100, within 30 days of the date in which the action was taken. Copies of the law and regulations applicable to filing petitions will be provided on request.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that CDO No.R5-2003-0090 is rescinded, and, pursuant to CWC section 13301 and 13267:

 The City of Grass Valley shall comply with the following time schedule to ensure compliance with Order No. R5-2003-0089 effluent limitations for aluminum, chloroform, copper, cyanide, dibromochloromethane, dichlorobromomethane, manganese, nitrate-plus-nitrite, and zinc:

<u>Task</u> Complete WER and Translator Studies for copper and zinc	Compliance Date 1 March 2008
Submit Pollution Prevention Plan (PPP) ¹ pursuant to CWC section 13263.3 for aluminum, chloroform, copper, cyanide, dibromochloromethane, dichlorobromomethane, iron, manganese, MBAs, MTBE, nitrite, nitrate-plusnitrite, and zinc	1 March 2008
Begin construction of plant upgrades	15 June 2008
Progress Reports	1 January and 1 July, annually
Complete Construction of plant upgrades	1 December 2009
Full Compliance with aluminum, chloroform, copper, cyanide, dibromochloromethane, dichlorobromomethane, manganese, nitrate-plus-nitrite, and zinc effluent limitations	1 March 2010

The PPP shall be prepared and implemented for aluminum, chloroform, copper, cyanide, dibromochloromethane, dichlorobromomethane, manganese, nitrate-plus-nitrite, and zinc, as appropriate, and shall meet the requirements specified in CWC section 13263.3

2. For the compliance schedules required by this Order, the Discharger shall submit to the Regional Water Board on or before each compliance report due date, the specified document or, if appropriate, a written report detailing compliance or noncompliance with the specific schedule date and task. If noncompliance is being reported, the reasons for such noncompliance shall be stated, and shall include an estimate of the date when the Discharger will be in compliance. The Discharger shall notify the Regional Water Board by letter when it returns to compliance with the time schedule.

3. The following interim effluent limitations shall be effective immediately, and shall remain in effect through 1 March 2010, or when the Discharger is able to come into compliance with the final effluent limitations, whichever is sooner.

Parameter	Average Daily Effluent Limitation		
Aluminum	629 ug/L		
Chloroform	42 ug/L		
Coppor total	13.0 ug/L		
Copper, total	0.30 lbs/day		
Cyanida total	15 ug/L		
Cyanide, total	0.35 lbs/day		
Dibromochloromethane	2.47 ug/L		
Dibromochiorometriane	0.057 lbs/day		
Dichlorobromomethane	14 ug/L		
Dichioropromometriane	0.33 lbs/day		
Manganese	249 ug/L		
Nitrate plus Nitrite	17 mg/L as N		
Zinc	110 ug/L		
ZITIC	2.61 lbs/day		

- 4. If, in the opinion of the Executive Officer, the Discharger fails to comply with the provisions of this Order, the Executive Officer may apply to the Attorney General for judicial enforcement or issue a complaint for Administrative Civil Liability.
- 5. Any person signing a document submitted under this Order shall make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that. based on my knowledge and on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment."

I, PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer, do hereby certify the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of an Order adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, on 6 December 2007.